arXiv:1703.02939v4 [math.CO] 17 Apr 2017

THE (p, q) PROPERTY IN FAMILIES OF d-INTERVALS AND d-TREES

SHIRA ZERBIB

ABSTRACT. A family of sets has the (p, q) property if among any p members of it some q have a nonempty intersection. Given two integers $p \geq q > 1$, we prove that a family of d-intervals that has the (p, q) property can be pierced by at most $cd^{\frac{q}{q-1}}$ points, for some constant c depending on p and q. This generalizes results of Tardos [10], Kaiser [7], and Alon [2] for the case $q = 2$, and of Kaiser and Rabinovich [8] for the case $p = q = \lceil log_2(d + 2) \rceil$. We further show that our bounds hold also in families of subgraphs of a tree (or a graph of bounded tree-width) each consisting of at most d connected components, again extending theorems of Alon [3] for the case $q = 2$. In the case $p = q$ we prove an upper bound of $O(d^{\frac{1}{p-1}})$ on the fractional covering number in families of d-intervals, and show that this bound is tight.

1. INTRODUCTION

A *d-interval* is a union of at most d disjoint closed intervals on the real line. A *separated d-interval* is a union of d possibly empty closed intervals, one on each of d fixed parallel lines. Given a finite family of d-intervals we are interested in properties of the hypergraph H whose vertex set is the points of the lines considered above, and whose edge set is family of d-intervals. We call H a *hypergraph of d-intervals*.

A *matching* in a hypergraph $H = (V, E)$ with vertex set V and edge set E is a set of disjoint edges. A *cover* is a subset of V meeting all edges. The *matching number* $\nu(H)$ is the maximal size of a matching, and the *covering number* $\tau(H)$ is the minimal size of a cover. The fractional relaxations of ν and τ are denoted, as usual, by ν^* and τ^*, respectively. By linear programming duality, $\nu^* = \tau^*$.

An old result of Gallai is that if H is a hypergraph of intervals, then $\tau(H) = \nu(H)$. Tardos [10] and Kaiser [7] proved that in a hypergraph H of d-intervals we have $\frac{\tau(H)}{\nu(H)} \leq d^2 - d + 1$. In the separated case, the upper bound improves to $d^2 - d$. Another approach was taken by Alon [2] who proved a slightly weaker upper bound for the non-separated case, namely $\frac{\tau}{\nu} \leq 2d^2$. Alon breaks his bound into two

results: $\tau^* \leq 2d\nu$, and $\tau \leq d\tau^*$. In [1] examples for the sharpness of these two results as well as an alternative proof of the latter are given.

Matoušek [9] showed that the quadratic bound in d on the ratio τ/ν in hypergraphs of d-intervals is not far from the truth: there are examples of intersecting hypergraphs of d-intervals in which $\tau = \frac{\tau}{\nu} = \Omega(\frac{d^2}{\log d})$.

A hypergraph H has the (p, q) *property* if among every p edges in H some q have a nonempty intersection. In this terminology Gallai's theorem asserts that if an interval hypergraph H has the $(p, 2)$ property then $\tau(H) = p - 1$. Tardos' and Kaiser's result is that any d-interval hypergraph H that has the $(p, 2)$ property has $\tau(H) \leq (p-1)(d^2-d+1)$.

Kaiser and Rabinovich [8] proved that if a hypergraph H of separated d-intervals has the (p, p) property for $p = \lceil log_2(d + 2)\rceil$, then $\tau(H) = d$. Björner, Matoušek and Ziegler [5] then raised the question whether the $\lceil \log_2(d+2)\rceil$ factor in this result can be replaced by some constant p independent of d. Matoušek's example shows that such a constant p must be greater than 2. For a survey on the (p, q) property in hypergraphs of d-intervals see [6].

Here we generalize the above results to families of d-intervals having the (p, q) property, for any two given integers $p \geq q > 1$. We prove the following.

Theorem 1.1. *If H is a family of d-intervals that has the (p, p) property for some integer $p > 1$, then $\tau^*(H) \leq p^{\frac{1}{p-1}} d^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + 1$, $\tau(H) \leq p^{\frac{1}{p-1}} d^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + d$, and the bound on $\tau^*(H)$ is asymptotically sharp.*

Theorem 1.2. *If a family H of d-intervals has the (p, q)-property for some integers $p \geq q > 1$, then*

$$\tau(H) \leq \max\Big\{\frac{2(ep)^{\frac{q}{q-1}}}{q} d^{\frac{q}{q-1}} + d,\ 2p^2 d\Big\}.$$

The proofs of these theorems are given in Sections 2 and 3.

Viewed as a discrete object, a family of d-interval is a collection of subgraphs of a path G, each consisting of at most d connected components. Alon [3] extended this setting to collections of subgraphs of a tree G. Let G be a tree and let H be a collection of subgraphs of G, each consisting of at most d connected components. Alon proved that if H has the $(p, 2)$ property, then $\tau(H) \leq 2(p-1)d^2$. In the case G is an arbitrary graph of bounded tree-width k, he showed $\tau(H) \leq 2(k + 1)(p - 1)d^2$.

Here we extend both of these results to collection of subgraphs having the (p, q) property. We prove the following.

Theorem 1.3. *Let H be a collection of subgraphs of a tree G, all of which consisting of at most d components. If H has the (p,p) property for some integer $p>1$, then*

$$\tau(H) \leq p^{\frac{1}{p-1}} d^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + d.$$

Theorem 1.4. *Let H be a collection of subgraphs of a tree G, all of which having at most d components. If H has the (p,q) property for some integers $p \geq q > 1$, then*

$$\tau(H) \leq \max\left\{\frac{2(ep)^{\frac{q}{q-1}}}{q} d^{\frac{q}{q-1}} + d,\ 2p^2 d\right\}.$$

In Section 4 we prove a lemma concerning collections of subtrees of a tree G. The proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 are given in Sections 5 and 6. Although Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are implied by these results, the proofs in the d-interval case are somewhat simpler and have a geometric nature, so we treat this case separately. In section 7 we extend the above to collections of subgraph of an arbitrary graph G of bounded tree-width. Namely, we prove:

Theorem 1.5. *Let $G=(V,E)$ be a graph of tree-width at most k and let H be a collection of subgraphs of G, each having at most d connected components. If H has the (p,q) property then*

$$\tau(H) \leq (k+1) \cdot \max\left\{\frac{2(ep)^{\frac{q}{q-1}}}{q} d^{\frac{q}{q-1}} + d,\ 2p^2 d\right\}.$$

In our proofs throughout the paper we apply some of the methods used in [2, 3, 4, 1]. In all cases we first prove that the fractional covering number $\tau^*(H)$ cannot exceed a certain bound, and then use the following upper bound on the ratio $\tau(H)/\tau^*(H)$.

Theorem 1.6 (Alon, [2, 3])**.** *Let G be a tree, and let H be a collection of subgraphs of G, each having at most d connected components. Then $\tau(H) \leq d\tau^*(H)$. In particular, if H is a hypergraph of d-intervals then $\tau(H) \leq d\tau^*(H)$.*

2. The (p,p) property in d-intevals

Let H be a hypergraph of d-intervals. By performing small perturbations on the d-intervals, we may assume that no two endpoints of intervals in edges of H coincide. We begin by proving a simple lemma which will play a crucial role in the sequel.

Lemma 2.1. *If a family $\mathcal{I}$ of k intervals intersects at a point, then we have at least two k-tuples of the form $(x, \mathcal{I} \setminus \{I\})$, where x is an endpoint of the interval $I \in \mathcal{I}$ and x lies in every interval $J \in \mathcal{I}$.*

Proof. Let $K = \bigcap \mathcal{I}$. The endpoints x_1, x_2 of K are endpoints of intervals $I_1, I_2 \in \mathcal{I}$, respectively. Then $(x_1, \mathcal{I} \setminus \{I_1\})$ and $(x_2, \mathcal{I} \setminus \{I_2\})$ are the two desired k-tuples. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $f : H \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a maximal fractional matching. By removing edges $h \in H$ for which $f(h) = 0$ and duplicating edges if necessary, we can assume that $f(h) = \frac{1}{r}$ for all $h \in H$, where r is the maximal size of a subset of edges in H intersecting in a single point. In particular, $r \geq p$. Letting n be the number of edges in H we have $\tau^*(H) = \frac{n}{r}$, and thus we have to show that $\frac{n}{r} \leq p^{\frac{1}{p-1}} d^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + 1$.

By the (p, p) property of H, we have that H contains $\binom{n}{p}$ subsets of p edges, each of which intersects in a vertex. By Lemma 4.1, each such subset contributes at least two p-tuples $(x, h_1, \ldots, h_{p-1})$, where x is an endpoint of an edge h of H, $h_1, \ldots, h_{p-1}$ are $p-1$ distinct edges of H, all different from h, and x lies in every h_i, $1 \leq i \leq p-1$.

Since there are altogether at most $2dn$ possible choices for x, there is such a point x that lies in at least

$$X := \frac{1}{dn}\binom{n}{p} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{p-1}(n-i)}{d \cdot p!}$$

such p-tuples. Since x lies in X sets of $p-1$ edges each, it must lie in at least

$$\Big((p-1)! \cdot X\Big)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \geq \frac{n-p+1}{(pd)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}$$

different edges in H. Together with the d-interval in which x is an endpoint, x lies in at least

$$\frac{n-p+1}{(pd)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}} + 1$$

edges in H. Since $r \geq p$, it follows that

$$\frac{n-p+1}{r(pd)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}} + \frac{1}{r} \leq 1.$$

Thus

$$\frac{n}{r} \leq (pd)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + 1,$$

showing $\tau^*(H) \leq p^{\frac{1}{p-1}} d^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + 1$. By Theorem 1.6 we have $\tau(H) \leq d\tau^*(H)$, and thus

$$\tau(H) \leq p^{\frac{1}{p-1}} d^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + d.$$

To see that the bound on $\tau^*(H)$ is asymptotically sharp, Let q be a prime power and let $P = \mathbb{P}^k(\mathbb{F}_q)$ denote the k-dimensional projective space over the field $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let $H = (V, E)$ be the hypergraph with vertex

set $V = P$ whose edge set E is the family of $(k-1)$-dimensional projective subspaces of P. Clearly H has the (k,k) property.

Proposition 2.2. $\tau^*(H) = q + \frac{1}{\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} q^i}$.

Proof. Each edge in H has cardinality $\frac{q^k-1}{q-1}$. Hence the function $g : V \to \mathbb{R}^+$ assigning the weight $\frac{q-1}{q^k-1}$ to every vertex is fractional cover. Its size is

$$|V| \cdot \frac{q-1}{q^k-1} = \frac{(q^{k+1}-1)}{(q-1)} \cdot \frac{(q-1)}{(q^k-1)} = q + \frac{1}{\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} q^i}.$$

On the other hand, each vertex in H has degree $\frac{q^k-1}{q-1}$. Therefore, the function $f : E \to \mathbb{R}^+$ assigning the weight $\frac{q-1}{q^k-1}$ to every edge in E is fractional matching. Its size is

$$|E| \cdot \frac{q-1}{q^k-1} = \frac{(q^{k+1}-1)}{(q-1)} \cdot \frac{(q-1)}{(q^k-1)} = q + \frac{1}{\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} q^i}.$$

□

Since H is a d-uniform hypergraph for $d = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} q^i$, it can be realized as a family F of d-intervals (where each interval is just a point in $\mathbb{R}$) with $\tau(F) = q + \frac{1}{\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} q^i} = \Omega(d^{\frac{1}{k-1}})$.

3. The (p,q)-property in d-intervals

Theorem 3.1. *If a family H of d-intervals has the (p,q)-property, then*

$$\tau^*(H) \leq \max\Big\{\frac{2(ep)^{\frac{q}{q-1}}}{q} d^{\frac{1}{q-1}} + 1,\ 2p^2\Big\}.$$

Proof. By removing and duplicating edges in H we may assume that H is a multiset $\{h_1, \ldots, h_n\}$ in which each h_i has multiplicity a_i, with $m = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i$, and that $\tau^*(H) = m/r$, where r is the maximal number of edges in H with a non-empty intersection. Then clearly $r \geq a_i$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$.

For $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq a_i$ Denote by $h_{i,j}$ the $j-$th copy of h_i in H. Let T be the family of all subsets of cardinality p of H of the form $t = \{h_{i_1,j_i}, \ldots, h_{i_p,j_p}\}$, with $i_u \neq i_v$ for all $1 \leq u < v \leq p$. Since $r \geq a_i$ we have

$$|T| \geq \frac{1}{p!} m\big(m-r\big)\big(m-2r\big)\cdots\big(m-(p-1)r\big) \geq \frac{1}{p!} m^p \big(1 - \frac{pr}{m}\big)^p.$$

The (p,q)-property of H implies that for every $t \in T$ there exists an intersecting subset $s \subset t$ of cardinality q. Moreover, s is contained in

at most $\binom{m-q}{p-q}$ members of T. It follows that the number of intersecting subsets of size q in H is at least

$$\frac{|T|}{\binom{m-q}{p-q}} \geq \frac{\binom{m}{q}}{\binom{p}{q}}\big(1-\frac{pr}{m}\big)^p.$$

By Lemma 4.1, each such intersecting subset admits at least two q-tuples $(x, f_1, \ldots, f_{q-1})$, where x is an endpoint of a d-interval f of H, $f_1, \ldots, f_{q-1}$ are $q-1$ distinct edges of H, all different from f, and x lies in every f_i, $1 \leq i \leq q-1$. Since there are altogether $2dm$ endpoints of members in H, there must exist an endpoint x that pierces at least

$$X := \frac{\binom{m}{q}}{dm\binom{p}{q}}\big(1-\frac{pr}{m}\big)^p$$

subsets of cardinality $q-1$ of H. If $\frac{m}{r} \geq 2p^2$, this implies that x lies in at least

$$\begin{aligned}\Big((q-1)!\cdot X\Big)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} &\geq \Big(\frac{(q-1)!\binom{m}{q}}{dm\binom{p}{q}}\big(1-\frac{pr}{m}\big)^p\Big)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}\\ &\geq \frac{m-q+1}{(dq\binom{p}{q})^{\frac{1}{q-1}}}\Big(1-\frac{p^2r}{m}\Big)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} \qquad (1)\\ &\geq \frac{m-q+1}{(2dq\binom{p}{q})^{\frac{1}{q-1}}} \geq \frac{q(m-q+1)}{(2e^qp^qd)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}}\end{aligned}$$

different edges in H. It follows that

$$\frac{q(m-q+1)}{2(ep)^{\frac{q}{q-1}}d^{\frac{1}{q-1}}} \leq r,$$

which entails

$$\frac{m}{r} \leq \frac{2(ep)^{\frac{q}{q-1}}}{q}\cdot d^{\frac{1}{q-1}}+1.$$

□

The proof of Theorem 1.2 now follows from Theorem 6.1 together with the bound $\tau(H) \leq d\tau^*(H)$ given in Theorem 1.6.

4. A lemma on collections of subtrees

Lemma 4.1. *Let H be a finite family of n (not necessarily distinct) subtrees of a tree G and suppose that $\binom{H}{p}$ contains at least k intersecting subsets. Then there exists a vertex in G that lies in at least*

$$\Big((p-1)!\ \frac{k}{n}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}+1$$

members of H.

Proof. Let H' be a sub-family of H with the property that every element in H' belongs to at least k/n different intersecting subsets of size p in H'. Such a sub-family exists since we can greedily remove from H elements belonging to less than k/n different intersecting subsets, and since in each step less than k/n intersecting subsets of p elements are removed, this process cannot terminate with an empty set.

Choose an arbitrary vertex $u \in V(G)$ and consider G as a tree rooted in u. Let $dist(u,v)$ denote the length of the path from u to a vertex $v \in V(G)$. Then every tree $h \in H'$ is rooted at a vertex $v_h \in V(h)$ with the property that $dist(u,v_h) = \min\{dist(u,x) \mid x \in V(h)\}$. Let $h \in H'$ be such that $dist(u,v_h) = \max\{dist(u,v_f) \mid f \in H'\}$. Then if h belongs to an intersecting subset T of size p of H', then every one of the $p-1$ members of T that are different from h must contain v_h. Since h belongs to at least k/n different such subsets T, we conclude that v_h belongs to at least k/n different subsets of $p-1$ elements in H', all of which do not contain h. It follows that v_h belongs to at least

$$\Big((p-1)!\,\frac{k}{n}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$$

members of H all different from h, which proves the lemma. □

5. The (p,p) property in d-trees

Theorem 5.1. *Let H be a collection of subgraphs of a tree G, all of which having at most d components. If H has the (p,p) property, then*

$$\tau^*(H) \leq (pd)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + 1.$$

Proof. Let $f : H \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a maximal fractional matching. By removing members $h \in H$ for which $f(h) = 0$ and duplicating members if necessary, we can assume that $f(h) = \frac{1}{r}$ for all $h \in H$, where r is the maximal size of an intersecting subset of H. In particular, $r \geq p$. Letting $n = |H|$ we have $\tau^*(H) = \frac{n}{r}$, and thus we have to show that $\frac{n}{r} \leq (pd)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + 1$.

By the (p,p) property of H, we have that H contains $\binom{n}{p}$ subsets of p elements, each of which intersect in a vertex. Since each subgraph in H contains at most d connected components, the multiset H' of all subtrees that appear as a connected component in an element of H is of size at most nd, and it contains at least $\binom{n}{p}$ intersecting subsets of p elements each.

Applying Lemma 4.1 for H', we conclude that there exists a vertex in G that lies in at least

$$\Big((p-1)!\,\frac{\binom{n}{p}}{nd}\Big)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}+1\geq\frac{n-p+2}{(dp)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}$$

members of H, implying

$$\frac{n-p+2}{(dp)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}}\leq r.$$

Since $r\geq p$ it follows that

$$\frac{n}{r}\leq(dp)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}+1,$$

as we wanted to show. □

Combining Theorems 5.1 and 1.6, the proof of Theorem 1.1 follows.

6. The (p,q) property in d-trees

Theorem 6.1. *Let H be a collection of subgraphs of a tree G, all of which having at most d components. If H has the (p,q) property, then*

$$\tau^*(H)\leq\max\Big\{\frac{2(ep)^{\frac{q}{q-1}}}{q}\cdot d^{\frac{1}{q-1}}+1,\ 2p^2\Big\}.$$

Proof. Like before, by removing and duplicating elements in H we may assume that H is a multiset $\{h_1,\ldots,h_n\}$ in which each h_i has multiplicity a_i, with $m=\sum_{i=1}^n a_i$, and that $\tau^*(H)=m/r$, where r is the maximal number of an intersecting subset of H. In particular, $r\geq q$ and $r\geq a_i$ for every $1\leq i\leq n$.

For $1\leq i\leq n$ and $1\leq j\leq a_i$ denote by $h_{i,j}$ the $j-$th copy of h_i in H. Let T be the family of all subsets of cardinality p of H of the form $t=\{h_{i_1,j_i},\ldots,h_{i_p,j_p}\}$, with $i_u\neq i_v$ for all $1\leq u<v\leq p$. Since $r\geq a_i$ we have

$$|T|\geq\frac{1}{p!}m\big(m-r\big)\big(m-2r\big)\cdots\big(m-(p-1)r\big)\geq\frac{1}{p!}m^p\big(1-\frac{pr}{m}\big)^p.$$

The (p,q)-property of H implies that for every $t\in T$ there exists an intersecting subset $s\subset t$ of cardinality q. Moreover, s is contained in at most $\binom{m-q}{p-q}$ members of T. It follows that the number of intersecting subsets of size q in H is at least

$$\frac{|T|}{\binom{m-q}{p-q}}\geq\frac{\binom{m}{q}}{\binom{p}{q}}\big(1-\frac{pr}{m}\big)^p.$$

Since each element in H contains at most d connected components, the multiset H' of all subtrees that appear as a connected component

in an element of H is of size at most md. Applying Lemma 4.1 for H', we conclude that there exists a vertex v in G that lies in at least

$$\Big((q-1)!\,\frac{k}{md}\Big)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}+1$$

members of H, where

$$k=\frac{\binom{m}{q}}{\binom{p}{q}}\big(1-\frac{pr}{m}\big)^p.$$

.

If $\frac{m}{r}\geq 2p^2$, then by (1) we have that v lies in at least

$$\Big(\frac{(q-1)!\binom{m}{q}}{dm\binom{p}{q}}\big(1-\frac{pr}{m}\big)^p\Big)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}+1\geq\frac{q(m-q+1)}{(2e^qp^qd)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}}+1$$

members of H. If follows that

$$\frac{q(m-q+1)}{(2e^qp^qd)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}}+1\leq r,$$

implying

$$\frac{m}{r}\leq\frac{2(ep)^{\frac{q}{q-1}}}{q}\cdot d^{\frac{1}{q-1}}+1.$$

□

Together with Theorem 1.6, Theorem 1.2 is now proved.

We conclude this section by remarking that the constants in Theorems 1.2 and 1.4 can be improved by taking more careful estimations, but we avoid from doing so for the sake of simplicity.

7. Graphs of bounded tree-width

A tree decomposition of a graph $G=(V,E)$ is a tree T with vertices $X_1,\ldots,X_n$, where each X_i is a subset of V, satisfying the following properties:

(i) $\bigcup_{i=1}^n X_i=V$,
(ii) If $v\in X_i\cap X_j$, then $v\in X_k$ for all vertices X_k in the path connecting X_i and X_j in T.
(iii) For every edge $uv\in E$, there exists $1\leq i\leq n$ so that $\{u,v\}\subset X_i$.

The width of a tree decomposition is $\max_i\{|X_i|\}-1$. The tree-width of a graph G is the minimum width among all possible tree decompositions of G. For any fixed constant k, the graphs of tree-width at most k

are called the partial k-trees. Families of graphs with bounded tree-width include the cactus graphs, pseudoforests, series-parallel graphs, outerplanar graphs, Halin graphs and more.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Let T be a tree decomposition of G with vertex set $V(T) = \{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$, where $X_i \subset V$ and $|X_i| \leq k+1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. For each subgraph $h \in H$ let h' be the subgraph of T induced on all vertices X_i for which X_i contains a vertex of H. Let $H' = \{h' \mid h \in H\}$. Then H' has the (p,q) property and each member of H' has at most d connected components. By Theorem 1.4 there exists a set $C \subset V(T)$ of cardinality at most

$$\max\left\{\frac{2(ep)^{\frac{q}{q-1}}}{q} \cdot d^{\frac{q}{q-1}} + d,\ 2p^2 d\right\}$$

that forms a cover of H'. Then the set $\bigcup C$ is a cover of H, and its cardinality is at most

$$(k+1) \cdot \max\left\{\frac{2(ep)^{\frac{q}{q-1}}}{q}(k+1)d^{\frac{q}{q-1}} + d,\ 2p^2 d\right\}.$$

References

[1] R. Aharoni, T. Kaiser and S. Zerbib. Fractional covers and matchings in families of weighted $d-$intervals, *Combinatorica*, (2016). doi:10.1007/s00493-016-3174-7.

[2] N. Alon, Piercing d-intervals, Disc. Comput. Geom. 19 (1998), 333–334.

[3] N. Alon, Covering a hypergraph of subgraphs, Discrete Mathematics 257 (2002), 249–254.

[4] N. Alon and D. Kleitman, Piercing convex sets and the hadwigder debrunner (p,q)-problem, Advances in Mathematics (1992), 103-112.

[5] A. Björner, J. Matoušek, and G. M. Ziegler. Around Brouwer's fixed point theorem. (2014). arXiv:1409.7890

[6] J. Eckhoff, A survey of the Hadwiger-Debrunner (p,q)-problem. Discrete and computational geometry, 347-377, Algorithms Combin., 25, Springer, Berlin, 2003.

[7] T. Kaiser, Transversals of d-intervals, Disc. Comput. Geom. 18, 2, (1997), 195–203.

[8] T. Kaiser and Yu. Rabinovich. Intersection properties of families of convex (n,d)-bodies. Disc. Comput. Geom. 21 (1999), 275-287.

[9] J. Matoušek, Lower bounds on the transversal numbers of d-intervals, Disc. Comput. Geom. 26 (3) (2001), 283–287.

[10] G. Tardos, Transversals of 2-intervals, a topological approach, Combinatorica 15, 1 (1995), 123–134.

Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor
E-mail address: zerbib@umich.edu